Oocyte developmental competence and embryo quality: distinction and new perspectives

I. Gilbert, A. Macaulay, C. Robert¹

Département des Sciences Animales, Centre de Recherche en Biologie de la Reproduction, Institut sur la Nutrition et les Aliments Fonctionnels, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.

Abstract

In vitro embryo production is the cornerstone of infertility treatment in human and is increasingly used in cattle to propagate high genetic merit animals. To increase its efficiency, many different approaches have been tested all of which stem from the concepts of oocvte quality and developmental competence. Presented here are recently reported findings and perspectives related to bovine oocyte biology and analysis of blastocyst quality that addresses these concepts from a different angle supporting the complex nature of the very dynamic developmental window that late oogenesis up to blastocyst encompasses development. It was recently reported that the atypical nature of the oocyte is supported by extensive nurturing from the surrounding cumulus cells in the form of large cargo transfer as well as transfer of phosphocreatine as an alternate means of generating ATP to fulfill the oocyte's needs during the energy demanding process of maturation. It has been shown many times over that the determinants of early embryogenesis are embedded in the oocyte, however, transcriptome analysis dissociates embryonic yield from the concept of embryonic quality. Within the divergent gene expression, long non-coding RNAs represent a very functionally diverse class of transcripts that have yet been characterized. Taken together, it is clear that a clearer definition of both oocyte and embryonic quality are still needed to support the improvement of in vitro embryo production.

Keywords: embryo quality, oocyte competence, RNA transfer.

Introduction

Reproductive success can be broadly summarized as the birth of a viable and healthy offspring. This achievement relies on the completion of numerous complex and selective developmental steps occurring throughout the reproductive process. Interestingly, very few gametes ever get to contribute to the next generation, and reproductive success relies heavily on the quality of those gametes. Recent findings support an added contribution from the male gamete through the transfer of proteins (Saunders et al., 2002) and RNA (Ostermeier et al., 2004) at fertilization and

also of an epigenetic legacy (Lambrot *et al.*, 2013) but the constitution of the early embryo is dependent upon the constitution of the egg. In addition to the maternal genome, the oocyte also provides the cytoplasmic components including RNA and protein reserves as well as the mitochondrial contingent all of which are necessary to sustain early embryo development.

To achieve reproductive success, the oocyte must display competence to resume meiosis, to cleave upon fertilization, to sustain early development (namely to activate its genome), to establish a pregnancy, and to sustain fetal growth and development until birth. It is well accepted that succeeding in the first events does not ensure the success of subsequent ones (Sirard et al., 2006). It is this capacity to successfully complete these steps that is referred to as developmental competence. As it has already been discussed, developmental competence is "a convenient but biologically fuzzy concept" (Duranthon and Renard, 2001) since in its broadest sense, the impact of the oocyte is carried up to the birth of a healthy and fertile offspring. However, other factors, excluding the oocyte, have to be considered such as the reciprocal interaction between the conceptus and the endometrium in the establishment of a pregnancy. Generally, a narrower definition is used where the oocyte's intrinsic developmental competence is studied up until the blastocyst stage after which the requirement for the uterine environment becomes a confounding effect.

By comparing blastocyst rates when producing embryos either in vitro or in vivo there are three main processes undoubtedly affecting developmental outcomes; they are oocyte maturation, fertilization, and embryo culture. It has been shown that this shorter view of developmental competence is heavily influenced by the quality of the oocyte at the outset and completion of maturation (Rizos et al., 2002). Still today, the characteristics defining oocyte quality are vague and subjective. Studies have focused on the morphology of the cloud of somatic cells surrounding the oocyte and the visual aspect of the gamete's cytoplasm (Blondin and Sirard, 1995). As a token of this, using these characteristics it is possible to choose a subset of cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) that will reach the stage of blastocyst in vitro in a greater proportion than the unselected population but the rates are seldom higher than 50% and some COCs that do not harbour

¹Corresponding author: claude.robert@fsaa.ulaval.ca Phone: +1(418)656-2131, Fax: +1(418)656-5877 Received: June 12, 2015 Accepted: June 29, 2015

the targeted criteria are able to produce a viable embryo.

In complement, it has been shown that the microenvironment to which the oocyte is submitted can have a profound impact on the proportion of oocvtes reaching the blastocyst stage (Lequarre et al., 2005). In order to improve in vitro embryonic yields, conditions such as oxygen tension (Ouinn and Harlow, 1978; Olson and Seidel 2000; Correa et al. 2008) and media composition (Harper and Brackett, 1993a, b; Lonergan et al., 1996; Baldoceda-Baldeon et al., 2014) have been tested and shown to increase the number of blastocysts but still rarely over 50%. The bulk of these studies have been done using COCs aspirated from ovaries collected post-mortem. Using this source, it has been shown that collecting COCs immediately after death leads to low blastocyst rates whereas letting the ovaries incubate in warm saline for a few hours before COC aspiration improves blastocyst rates (Blondin et al., 1997). The mechanism by which oocyte quality improves within the dving follicle is still unknown but it is again a good example of how the oocyte's microenvironment can influence the acquisition of developmental competence.

Considering the selected follicular sizes from these post-mortem ovaries are generally between 3 and 8 mm whereas a bovine preovulatory follicle can reach over 20 mm (Quirk et al., 1986), the suboptimal embryo production could thus be associated with incomplete follicular growth or be representative of a situation where not all oocytes can acquire developmental competence. The latter was challenged when manipulation of the hormonal regimen during ovarian stimulation was shown to produce cohorts of immature oocytes capable of sustaining in vitro development to reach the blastocyst stage sometimes at a rate of 100% (Blondin et al., 2002; Nivet et al., 2012). Many reviews have already summarized these observations (Sirard et al., 2006; Fair, 2010; Keefe et al., 2015; Moussa et al., 2015) but yet, the nature of the cues inducing the acquisition of developmental competence is unknown alike the distinctive characteristics harboured by a developmentally competent oocyte.

The need to understand and improve oocyte quality is fuelled by the application of assisted reproductive technologies (ART) both in human to palliate to infertility, and in livestock to increase the rate of selective breeding. In the field of in vitro embryo production (IVP) efforts have been directed towards the improvement of culture systems to increase blastocyst yields which have now lead to some concerns regarding the potential for these conditions to cause short and long term effects on embryo quality. These effects from culture conditions can be observed as: a shift in developmental kinetics (Holm et al., 2002), a skew in male: female ratio (Kimura et al., 2005, 2008), and lower tolerance to cryopreservation (Rizos et al., 2003, 2008). It is also known that in vitro embryo metabolism varies according to culture conditions and differs from in vivo derived counterparts (Krisher et al., 1999;

Khurana and Niemann, 2000).

Concerns over the potential carry over impacts on the development of pathological phenotypes much later than the blastocyst stage were reported at the turn of the millennium. Embryo production in vitro was associated with the large/abnormal offspring syndrome in ruminants (Young et al., 1998; McEvoy et al., 2000) which was then paralleled in human with higher frequency of imprinted disorders namely the Beckwith-Wiedemann, Angelmann, Prader-Willi and Silver-Russell syndromes (reviewed by Jacob and Moley, 2005), in addition to higher abortion rate and higher fetal abnormality rate (Taverne et al., 2002). Concerns over the long-term impact of ART now encompass all steps including the ovarian stimulation regimen (Denomme and Mann, 2012, 2013) for their potential extended effects on fetal development and even on disease development in adult life (Chen et al., 2011; Hart and Norman 2013a, b; Chen et al., 2014). These long-term impacts are believed to be carried by epigenetics and have so far been studied with most focus at the level of DNA methylation. These concerns bring forth the need to define embryonic quality and include a concept pertinent to embryonic health or "normalcy".

Genome wide gene expression has been used to describe embryos mostly as a mean to compare in vitro to in vivo produced counterparts. These studies have mainly been conducted with the perspective of increasing embryonic quality to increase developmental competence. Several recent reviews have evaluated the observations (Driver et al., 2012; Gad et al., 2012) and as expected, given a different environment, embryonic cells adapt their gene expression. The challenge remains to determine which genes or extent of gene expression are associated with embryonic quality or are rather deviances that will lead to poor phenotypes. Both the concepts of oocvte developmental competence and embryonic quality are closely intertwined and can also be distinct in nature. A better understanding of oocyte biology is necessary as a basis of defining what makes a "good" oocyte, alike a better understanding of embryogenesis is necessary to define the interval within which an embryo can be defined as "good". Recent observations add to the complexity of these concepts. We have used the bovine model for the potential application to produce more embryos from high genetic merit donor cows, as well as for its value as a model for human reproduction being a large monoovular mammal with similar follicular dynamics and kinetics of early embryogenesis.

Oocyte biology

It is known that oocyte developmental competence is acquired once the gamete reaches full size. In bovine, oocytes from <2 mm follicles have not reached full size and show little capacity to mature, whereas full size oocytes are found in 3 mm follicles

and develop to reach blastocyst stage at a rate around 30-35% (Motlik *et al.*, 1984; Fair *et al.*, 1995; Feng *et al.*, 2007). It is known that oogenesis is progressing in synchrony with folliculogenesis through the close interdependence on the bilateral communications occurring between the follicular cells and the gamete. The granulosa cells communicate through paracrine

signalling (for example EGF-like peptides; Gilchrist, 2011), while the cumulus cells and more precisely the inner layers of the corona radiata which bear cellular extensions reaching through the zona pellucida (Fig. 1) communicate with the oocyte through the gap junctions found at the articulation between the projection's end and the oocyte plasma membrane.



Figure 1. Maximum intensity image from confocal microscopy showing the transzonal projection. Numerous actin microfilaments in projections are coloured in red by the rhodamine phalloidin stain. Cumulus cells nuclei are stained in blue (Hoechst 33342).

Transferring cargo to the oocyte

Direct transfer from the somatic cell to the oocyte is known to occur where cyclic nucleotides control meiosis resumption. The importance of this communication has been the subject of recent reviews (Gilchrist, 2011). The use of gap junctions is also known to limit the size of transferred material to <1 kDa. This is unlike other animal models like c. elegans and *drosophila* where large material is delivered during oogenesis either from canals bridging oocytes together or from supporting nurse cells (Deng and Lin, 1997; Wolke et al., 2007). We have recently reported that transzonal projections (TZPs) are very large channels made of actin filaments (Macaulay et al., 2014). RNA granules were abundantly found within these structures and from a de novo labeling assay combined with a transcriptomic survey of the RNA found within the zona pellucida, it was proposed that cumulus cell transcripts are selectively and actively shuttled towards the oocyte. The transcriptome comprised of messenger RNAs as

well as long non-coding RNAs (Macaulay et al., 2014). Analysis of the interconnection showed that vesicles are secreted and contact is maintained until 9 h after initiation of maturation after which the connections detach and are completely broken at 22 h (Macaulay et al., 2014). Comparison of transcriptomes before and after maturation allowed identification of mRNAs increasing in abundance in the gamete during maturation. The cumulus cells origin is supported by the known transcriptional silence occurring in the oocyte associated with chromatin condensation (Lodde et al., 2008) in addition to the fact the increase was only observed in intact COCs not in denuded oocytes (Macaulay et al., 2014). Large cargo transfer was further proven by reconstructing COCs; placing denuded oocytes into culture with transfected cumulus cells expressing a GFP fusion protein. Following reconstruction, both GFP coding transcripts and protein were detected in the oocyte (Macaulay et al., 2014). From these results, it seems that the somatic cells continue to nurture the gamete even during its



transcriptionally quiescent period. The contribution of these transfers to oocyte quality and developmental competence remains to be established. Recent data indicates a subset of the transferred mRNAs could be translated and play a role in supporting maturation (Macaulay et al., 2015; Université Laval, Québec, Canada: manuscript submitted for publication). This opens a window of opportunity to influence oocyte quality through the cumulus cells. Additionally, it is known that early development relies on maternal stores of mRNAs where prior to genome activation, transcripts stabilized in ribonucleotide particles are recruited to support the protein synthesis demand (Sternlicht and Schultz ,1981). As such, cumulus cells could complement maternal reserves during the last stage of COC preparation.

Mitochondria and oocyte energy production

Cumulus cells are also known to support the oocyte by transferring energetic substrates such as lactate and pyruvate (Bilodeau-Goeseels, 2006). Typically, ATP production is sustained by glycolysis which produces pyruvate that is transported to the mitochondria to be metabolized by the Krebs cycle and the oxidative phosphorylation occurring in the cristae. However, the mammalian oocyte is an atypical cell

where it was found that the glycolytic pathway is impaired missing the phosphofructokinase enzyme (Cetica *et al.*, 2002). As an alternative, pyruvate is pumped from the cumulus cells into the oocyte where it is metabolized to generate ATP (Conti *et al.*, 2002; Bilodeau-Goeseels *et al.*, 2007). However, the extent of this energy production can be questioned since the gamete's mitochondrial contingent is odd displaying a round/ovoid form with few cristae and that can be hooded or contain a vacuole (Bavister and Squirrell, 2000). It is believed that the low potential for oxidative phosphorylation per mitochondrion is compensated for by their large number (250,000-300,000; reviewed by (Sutton-McDowall *et al.*, 2010).

By exploring the transcriptome of polyribosomes during oocyte maturation, one main characteristic stood apart where adenylate kinases and creatine kinase where highly represented in this subpopulation of actively translated mRNAs (Scantland et al., 2011, 2014). This highlighted a potential for the adenosine salvage pathway to contribute to the ATP pool of the oocyte. This process needs two enzymatic reactions. The first one implicates the adenylate cyclase that catalyzes the interconversion of adenine nucleotides. and the second one is performed with the creatine kinase that catalyzes the conversion phosphocreatine and ADP to generate creatine and ATP (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Adenylate kinase and creatine kinase maintain ATP level during oocyte maturation.

Through ATP content measurements during maturation and the addition of specific inhibitors and substrate, it was shown that the bovine oocyte is capable of phosphorylating AMP to produce ATP (Scantland *et al.*, 2014). The proposed model is based on recycling the residuum generated by meiosis resumption. More precisely, the large quantity of cyclic AMP (cAMP) produced and transferred from the cumulus cells to the oocyte to control meiosis arrest is converted to AMP through the activity of phosphodiesterases when meiosis

resumption is triggered leaving free AMP available for the adenosine salvage pathway. This alternative source of ATP may be important for oocyte quality to support protein synthesis and phosphorylation important for oocyte maturation (Stojkovic *et al.*, 2001). The mitochondrial impact can act on the long term due to their numerous functions beyond energy production. Indeed, mitochondria also play a central role in other processes underlying oocyte quality and also developmental competence. The mitochondria have important roles in fertilization (being involved in intracellular calcium storage) and embryo development (being the control center of programmed cell death; Santos *et al.*, 2006).

It is at the 4 to 16-cell stage that the mitochondria elongate and the numbers of transverse cristae increase alongside higher demand for glucose and pyruvate (Thompson et al., 1996; Bavister and Squirrell, 2000; Wilding et al., 2009). It was shown that reduced efficiency of mitochondrial respiration and ATP content in the oocyte and early embryo are correlated to a decrease in embryo development, and that maternal aging further influences this relationship (Stojkovic et al., 2001; Nagano et al., 2006; Wilding et al., 2009). Furthermore, maternal ageing has been associated with less metabolically active mitochondria which may confer long term impacts since the offspring are at greater risk of developing obesity and other 2015). syndromes (Wilding, metabolic These observations exemplify how oocvte quality can influence not only developmental competence and embryonic quality but also convey far-reaching health effects that persist into adulthood.

Embryo quality

In order to increase blastocyst rates, culture conditions have been modified extensively by the addition of compounds that would scavenger free radicals or increase cell survival by adding antiapoptotic compounds (Brison and Schultz ,1997; Kolle et al., 2002; Livingston et al., 2004; Block et al., 2008; Khalil et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). Ultimately, the challenge remains to determine which embryos are "sound" for transfer. As such, different morphological characteristics are used to assess embryo quality such as developmental kinetics where faster cleavage rates (fast 2-cells) display better blastocyst rates similar to embryos displaying "normal" cell-cycle lengths or appreciating the embryonic structure looking for symmetrical cells, embryonic homogeneity, low fragmentation, less apoptotic cells, appropriate cell lineage distribution with the inner cell mass/ trophectoderm (ICM/TE) ratio, or cell to cell interaction where tighter gap junctions are preferred (Lee et al., 1987; Van Soom et al., 1997a, b; Holm et al., 2002; Houghton et al., 2002). These grading characteristics can be subjective, and variable results are often obtained in regard to their in vivo similarity or gestation success after transfer.

Consequences of in vitro culture systems

As mentioned, since the introduction of bovine *in vitro* embryo production, blastocyst rates have been improved through the use of more suitable environmental conditions including media composition and supplementation. However, embryo production from oocvtes aspirated from ovaries collected at the local abattoir has reached somewhat of a plateau with 30 to 40% of oocytes developing into blastocysts. Improvement strategies have generally used blastocvst rates as the target metric where increased production of embryos equates to a better production system that is often also interpreted as one that produces better quality embryos. The observations of shifts in the sex ratio and the increased frequency of difficult parturition due to the offspring overgrowth raised questions regarding the impacts of culture conditions on embryonic quality. The presence of components from biological origins (serum, BSA, hormones) has been addressed, as their use is now known to represent an important source of variance due to contaminants that remain following purification (Kane, 1983; Batt and Miller, 1988; Rorie et al. 1994). For more than a decade, embryonic gene expression has been surveyed in order to determine the impacts of culture conditions as well as to attempt to provide a definition of embryonic quality (Wrenzycki et al., 2007; Carter et al., 2010; Clemente et al., 2011). So far, it has been challenging to compare results between studies due to the use of distinct technological platforms, as well as the difficulty in interpreting results as at least two confounding effects arise from any modification to the embryonic culture medium where deviance in gene expression is part of the natural cellular response or perhaps a part of an ill fated response (For review see Robert, 2010; Robert et al., 2011). This is caused by the lack of a reference of quality where the comparison with in vivo collected embryos is somewhat inappropriate. Although it is the most natural reference, it is also expected that in vitro conditions should lead to the some deviance in gene expression since both types of embryos (in vivo and in vitro) are grown is a very different microenvironment. Thus, transcriptomic divergences are expected but to which extent these deviations become a sign of lower quality? Timing developmental stage between in vivo and in vitro is also a challenge since timing post-insemination differs from timing post-fertilization. It has also been challenging to delineate between treatment effects and deviance in gene expression caused by a shift in development kinetics leading to the comparison of blastocysts at different developmental stages or by a shift in sex ratio that would highlight the gender associated differences in gene expression (Bermejo-Alvarez et al., 2010). These can become confounding factors but are also part of the treatment effect e.g. a treatment can induce a shift in developmental kinetics that will lead to the comparison of embryos at slightly different developmental stages (early blastocysts vs. expanded blastocysts); in such situation, comparing transcriptomes will identify deviations that may not be a sign that embryos are abnormal but may rather be representative that the embryonic cohorts are different.

In one of our studies, the embryonic transcriptome was compared across ten different *in vitro*

production systems (Table 1: Cote *et al.*, 2011). The initial premise was to collect embryos at a definite time post-fertilization, and compare blastocysts collected without selecting for embryonic morphology or gender as any skew in these factors is part of the treatment effect. Again, in vitro produced embryos have been compared to an *in vivo* embryos used as reference. Unexpected results showed that some treatments with poor embryonic yields generated transcriptomic signatures closer to the *in vivo* reference than the high yielding systems, and that these high yielding systems were characterized with very heterogeneous embryonic cohorts. To reconcile these observations we proposed that increasing embryonic rates necessitate more lenient conditions increasing developmental kinetics and allowing the survival of weaker embryos that would otherwise die. We raised the question that it may be necessary to eliminate the weak embryos to minimize the expression of poor phenotypes (Cote et al., 2011). Although these embryos were not transferred, this comparative study suggests that it is possible to produce a lower number of better quality embryos therefore dissociating developmental competence defined as the maximum number of embryos produced from the concept of embryonic quality.

In a subsequent study, it was shown that when selecting a subset of blastocysts using a defined morphology at a fixed time post-fertilization, the impact of culture conditions on gene expression deviations are largely minimized (Plourde et al., 2012). This confirmed that previous findings are related to the structure of the embryonic cohort. This study also showed that the source of the oocvtes either collected from abattoir ovaries or from ovum pick-up following an ovarian stimulation protocol has a more profound impact on blastocyst gene expression than the culture conditions (Plourde et al., 2012). This by contrast supports the close relationship that exists between oocyte quality and embryonic quality. In this study, it was also observed that one of the main impacts of in vitro embryo production across all treatments compared to in vivo blastocysts involved genes related to mitochondrial functions (Plourde et al., 2012). This again supports the theory that mitochondria play a central role in both oocyte quality and developmental competence. This is in accordance with the quiet embryo hypothesis where analysis of the metabolites from the culture media can be associated with embryo quality (Leese, 2012). This concept correlates the metabolism of individual preimplantation embryos to their subsequent viability where a low metabolism observed in regard to low glycolytic rate and amino acid turnover and their high antioxidant capacity characterize the best embryos (Leese, 2012). So, a healthy embryo will have "quiet" metabolism because it spend less energy to rectifying damage to the genome, transcriptome, and proteome, or has less injury compared to a non-viable embryo (Leese et al., 2007).

Table 1. Comparison of ten different embryonic *in vitro* production systems.

Treatment no.	Oocyte maturation (IVM)	Embryo culture (IVC)	Blastocyst yield	Blastocyst morphology at Day 7	Distance of transcriptome from reference	Overall variance between replicates
1	In vivo	In vivo	N/A	N/A	Reference	++
2	SOF-BSA	SOF-BSA	+++	Fully expanded	++	+
3	SOF-serum	SOF-BSA	++	Fully expanded	++++	++
4	SOF-BSA	SOF-serum	+++	Fully expanded	+++	++++
5	SOF-serum	SOF-serum	+++	Fully expanded	++	++++
6	SOF-BSA	SOF-BSA *	+	Small and dark	+	+++
7	SOF	SOF	+	Small and dark	+++	++
8	SOF-BSA	SOF co-culture	+++	Fully expanded-hatching	++	++
9	TCM-serum	TCM co-culture	+++	Fully expanded-hatching	+	++
10	TCM-serum	SOF-BSA	+++	Expanded but darker	++++	++
11	TCM-serum	TCM-serum	+	Small and dark	+++	+

*Serum was added on the last 2 days of embryo culture and thus after embryonic genome activation in an attempt to determine whether fully active embryonic cells could better use this hormone-rich supplement. ⁺Subjective appreciation of distance from reference and variance within treatment. Adapted from Cote *et al.*, 2011.

Mining embryonic trancriptome: the role of the long non-coding RNA

During the transcriptomic surveys, it was found that bovine embryonic cells express a large contingent of uncharacterized transcripts (Cote *et al.*, 2011; Plourde *et al.*, 2012). Sequence analysis indicate that these transcripts do not contain an open-reading frame meeting the minimal international standard of 300 nucleotides in length (100 amino acids; Dinger *et al.*, 2009) and that they are generated from both the nuclear and the mitochondrial genome (Cote *et al.*, 2011; Plourde *et al.*, 2012). These long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) constitute the largest class of non-coding RNA. By definition, they are longer than 200 nucleotides, and do not serve as a template for protein synthesis. Thus far, approximately 15,000 human lncRNA genes have been identified (Derrien *et al.*, 2012).

2012). Evidence demonstrating that they have various biological functions and play critical roles in the embryonic stem cell regulatory circuit began to emerge through the mouse model only a few years ago (Guttman *et al.*, 2010; Sheik Mohamed *et al.*, 2010). However, understanding the role of lncRNA is challenging and only 1% of all human non-coding transcripts have been associated with a function (Perkel, 2013).

When comparing transcriptomes to identify differential gene expression associated with culture conditions, it was shown that some of these lncRNA molecules are highly responsive to the embryonic microenvironment (Cote et al., 2011; Plourde et al., 2012). So far, their roles have not been characterized. Since non-coding RNAs are part of epigenetics as some of them can regulate gene expression (Bouwland-Both et al., 2013; Bhan and Mandal, 2014) it was anticipated that some of these lncRNAs could be indicative of epigenetic perturbations caused by the culture conditions (Le Bouc et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2010). To investigate this, three candidates have been further characterized and were found surprisingly in the cytoplasm rather than in the nucleus and also to be associated with the polyribosomes (Caballero et al., 2014). Moreover, one of them was found amongst the transcripts isolated from the TZPs between cumulus cells and the oocyte (Caballero et al., 2014). Knocking down this transcript in matured oocytes unexpectedly accelerated embryo developmental kinetics (Caballero et al., 2014). By overlaying the transcriptome and DNA methylome analyses, the knock down was shown to impact on four concordant genes (Coxsackie virus and adenovirus receptor (CXADR), advillin (AVIL), CD9 molecule, and plasminogen activator urokinase (PLAU)) where DNA methylation and transcript abundance follow the rule that increasing methylation results in lower gene expression and vice versa. The last two identified genes are known to be associated with the demise and the survival of blastocysts during the preimplantation period, respectively (El-Sayed et al. 2006). The roles of these lncRNAs in early embryogenesis have yet been elucidated. Like the proteins from the mRNAs, it is possible that lncRNAs are involved in numerous aspects of cellular functions.

Conclusions

It is clear that both concepts of oocyte developmental competence and embryonic quality are closely related, evidenced by the possibility to increase the number of blastocysts produced *in vitro* by modulating follicular conditions. It is conversely true that it is possible to modulate culture conditions to increase the number of low quality blastocysts. Therefore, blastocyst rate may not be a sufficient metric to improve *in vitro* embryo production. As others have proposed for more than a decade it seems clear that a

denominator between embryonic common developmental potential and quality is associated to the presence of healthy mitochondria (Van Blerkom, 2008, 2009, 2011). This is the basis of the therapeutic intervention done to increase fertility of the oocyte of aged women (St John, 2012; Tilly and Sinclair, 2013). Although promising any development in the field of embryo production still is in need for the definition of a good quality oocyte and of a top quality embryo. Given the impact of the epigenome and the sensitivities of the oocytes and early embryos to their surrounding environment, the broader definition of developmental competence must be all-encompasing when the goal of embryo production is to induce gestation with healthy offspring as the end result.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada (grant number SD293184), Fonds de recherche du Québec - Nature et technologies (2014-PR-182922) and also from the Canadian Institute of Health Research - Institute of Human Development, Child and Youth Health (grant number CAP-120358).

References

Baldoceda-Baldeon LM, Gagne D, Vigneault C, Blondin P, Robert C. 2014. Improvement of bovine in vitro embryo production by vitamin K(2) supplementation. *Reproduction*, 148:489-497.

Batt PA, Miller BG. 1988. Development of sheep embryos in vitro in a medium supplemented with different batches of serum albumin. *Aust J Biol Sci*, 41:371-376.

Bavister BD, Squirrell JM. 2000. Mitochondrial distribution and function in oocytes and early embryos. *Hum Reprod*, 15(suppl. 2):189-198.

Bermejo-Alvarez P, Rizos D, Rath D, Lonergan P, Gutierrez-Adan A. 2010. Sex determines the expression level of one third of the actively expressed genes in bovine blastocysts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 107:3394-3399.

Bhan A, Mandal SS. 2014. Long noncoding RNAs: emerging stars in gene regulation, epigenetics and human disease. *Chem Med Chem*, 9:1932-1956.

Bilodeau-Goeseels S. 2006. Effects of culture media and energy sources on the inhibition of nuclear maturation in bovine oocytes. *Theriogenology*, 66:297-306.

Bilodeau-Goeseels S, Sasseville M, Guillemette C, Richard FJ. 2007. Effects of adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase activators on bovine oocyte nuclear maturation in vitro. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 74:1021-1034.

Block J, Wrenzycki C, Niemann H, Herrmann D, Hansen PJ. 2008. Effects of insulin-like growth factor-1 on cellular and molecular characteristics of bovine blastocysts produced in vitro. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 75:895-903.

Blondin P, Sirard MA. 1995. Oocyte and follicular morphology as determining characteristics for developmental competence in bovine oocytes. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 41:54-62.

Blondin P, Guilbault LA, Sirard MA. 1997. The time interval between FSH-P administration and slaughter can influence the developmental competence of beef heifer oocytes. *Theriogenology*, 48:803-813.

Blondin P, Bousquet D, Twagiramungu H, Barnes F, Sirard MA. 2002. Manipulation of follicular development to produce developmentally competent bovine oocytes. *Biol Reprod*, 66:38-43.

Bouwland-BothMI, van Mil NH, Stolk L, Eilers PH, Verbiest MM, Heijmans BT, Tiemeier H, Hofman A, Steegers EA, Jaddoe VW, Steegers-Theunissen RP. 2013. DNA methylation of IGF2DMR and H19 is associated with fetal and infant growth: the generation R study. *PLoS One*, 8:e81731

Brison DR, Schultz RM. 1997. Apoptosis during mouse blastocyst formation: evidence for a role for survival factors including transforming growth factor alpha. *Biol Reprod*, 56:1088-1096

Caballero J, Gilbert I, Fournier E, Gagne D, Scantland S, Macaulay A, Robert C. 2014. Exploring the function of long non-coding RNA in the development of bovine early embryos. *Reprod Fertil Dev*, 27:40-52.

Carter F, Rings F, Mamo S, Holker M, Kuzmany A, Besenfelder U, Havlicek V, Mehta JP, Tesfaye D, Schellander K, Lonergan P. 2010. Effect of elevated circulating progesterone concentration on bovine blastocyst development and global transcriptome following endoscopic transfer of in vitro produced embryos to the bovine oviduct. *Biol Reprod*, 83:707-719.

Cetica P, Pintos L, Dalvit G, Beconi M. 2002. Activity of key enzymes involved in glucose and triglyceride catabolism during bovine oocyte maturation in vitro. *Reproduction*, 124:675-681.

Chen M, Norman RJ, Heilbronn LK. 2011. Does in vitro fertilisation increase type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk? *Curr Diabetes Rev*, 7:426-432.

Chen M, Wu L, Zhao J, Wu F, Davies MJ, Wittert GA, Norman RJ, Robker RL, Heilbronn LK. 2014. Altered glucose metabolism in mouse and humans conceived by IVF. *Diabetes*, 63:3189-3198.

Clemente M, Lopez-Vidriero I, O'Gaora P, Mehta JP, Forde N, Gutierrez-Adan A, Lonergan P, Rizos D. 2011. Transcriptome changes at the initiation of elongation in the bovine conceptus. *Biol Reprod*, 85:285-295.

Conti M, Andersen CB, Richard F, Mehats C, Chun SY, Horner K, Jin C, Tsafriri A. 2002. Role of cyclic nucleotide signaling in oocyte maturation. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 187:153-159.

Correa GA, Rumpf R, Mundim TC, Franco MM, Dode MA. 2008. Oxygen tension during in vitro culture of bovine embryos: effect in production and expression of genes related to oxidative stress. *Anim Reprod Sci*, 104:132-142.

Cote I, Vigneault C, Laflamme I, Laquerre J, Fournier E, Gilbert I, Scantland S, Gagne D, Blondin P, Robert C. 2011. Comprehensive cross production system assessment of the impact of in vitro microenvironment on the expression of messengers and long non-coding RNAs in the bovine blastocyst. *Reproduction*, 142:99-112.

Deng W, Lin H. 1997. Spectrosomes and fusomes anchor mitotic spindles during asymmetric germ cell divisions and facilitate the formation of a polarized microtubule array for oocyte specification in Drosophila. *Dev Biol*, 189:79-94.

Denomme MM, Mann MR. 2012. Genomic imprints as a model for the analysis of epigenetic stability during assisted reproductive technologies. *Reproduction*, 144:393-409.

Denomme MM, Mann MR. 2013. Maternal control of genomic imprint maintenance. *Reprod Biomed Online*, 27:629-636.

Derrien T, Johnson R, Bussotti G, Tanzer A, Djebali S, Tilgner H, Guernec G, Martin D, Merkel A, Knowles DG, Lagarde J, Veeravalli L, Ruan X, Ruan Y, Lassmann T, Carninci P, Brown JB, Lipovich L, Gonzalez JM, Thomas M, Davis CA, Shiekhattar R, Gingeras TR, Hubbard TJ, Notredame C, Harrow J, Guigo R. 2012. The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. *Genome Res*, 22:1775-1789.

Dinger ME, Pang KC, Mercer TR, Crowe ML, Grimmond SM, Mattick JS. 2009. NRED: a database of long noncoding RNA expression. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 37(database issue):D122-126.

Driver AM, Penagaricano F, Huang W, Ahmad KR, Hackbart KS, Wiltbank MC, Khatib H. 2012. RNA-Seq analysis uncovers transcriptomic variations between morphologically similar in vivo- and in vitro-derived bovine blastocysts. *BMC Genomics*, 13:118.

Duranthon V, Renard JP. 2001. The developmental competence of mammalian oocytes: a convenient but biologically fuzzy concept. *Theriogenology*, 55:1277-1289.

El-Sayed A, Hoelker M, Rings F, Salilew D, Jennen D, Tholen E, Sirard MA, Schellander K, Tesfaye D. 2006. Large-scale transcriptional analysis of bovine embryo biopsies in relation to pregnancy success after transfer to recipients. *Physiol Genomics*, 28:84-96.

Fair T, Hyttel P, Greve T. 1995. Bovine oocyte diameter in relation to maturational competence and transcriptional activity. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 42:437-442.

Fair T. 2010. Mammalian oocyte development: checkpoints for competence. *Reprod Fertil Dev*, 22:13-20.

Feng WG, Sui HS, Han ZB, Chang ZL, Zhou P, Liu DJ, Bao S, Tan JH. 2007. Effects of follicular atresia and size on the developmental competence of bovine oocytes: a study using the well-in-drop culture system. *Theriogenology*, 67:1339-1350.

Gad A, Hoelker M, Besenfelder U, Havlicek V, Cinar U, Rings F, Held E, Dufort I, Sirard MA, Schellander K, Tesfaye D. 2012. Molecular mechanisms and pathways involved in bovine embryonic genome activation and their regulation by alternative in vivo and in vitro culture conditions. *Biol Reprod*, 87:100.

Gilchrist RB. 2011. Recent insights into oocyte-follicle cell interactions provide opportunities for the development of new approaches to in vitro maturation. *Reprod Fertil Dev*, 23:23-31.

Guttman M, Garber M, Levin JZ, Donaghey J, Robinson J, Adiconis X, Fan L, Koziol MJ, Gnirke A, Nusbaum C, Rinn JL, Lander ES, Regev A. 2010. Ab initio reconstruction of cell type-specific transcriptomes in mouse reveals the conserved multiexonic structure of lincRNAs. *Nat Biotechnol*, 28:503-510.

Harper KM, Brackett BG. 1993a. Bovine blastocyst development after follicle-stimulating hormone and platelet-derived growth factor treatment for oocyte maturation in vitro. *Zygote*, 1:27-34.

Harper KM, Brackett BG. 1993b. Bovine blastocyst development after in vitro maturation in a defined medium with epidermal growth factor and low concentrations of gonadotropins. *Biol Reprod*, 48:409-416.

Hart R, Norman RJ. 2013a. The longer-term health outcomes for children born as a result of IVF treatment: Part I-General health outcomes. *Hum Reprod Update*, 19:232-243.

Hart R, Norman RJ. 2013b. The longer-term health outcomes for children born as a result of IVF treatment. Part II-Mental health and development outcomes. *Hum Reprod Update* 19:244-250.

Holm P, Booth PJ, Callesen H. 2002. Kinetics of early in vitro development of bovine in vivo- and in vitroderived zygotes produced and/or cultured in chemically defined or serum-containing media. *Reproduction*, 123:553-565.

Houghton FD, Barr KJ, Walter G, Gabriel HD, Grummer R, Traub O, Leese HJ, Winterhager E, Kidder GM. 2002. Functional significance of gap junctional coupling in preimplantation development. *Biol Reprod*, 66:1403-1412.

Jacob S, Moley KH. 2005. Gametes and embryo epigenetic reprogramming affect developmental outcome: implication for assisted reproductive technologies. *Pediatr Res*, 58:437-446.

Kane MT. 1983. Variability in different lots of commercial bovine serum albumin affects cell multiplication and hatching of rabbit blastocysts in culture. *J Reprod Fertil*, 69:555-558.

Keefe D, Kumar M, Kalmbach K. 2015. Oocyte competency is the key to embryo potential. *Fertil Steril*, 103:317-322.

Khalil WA, Marei WF, Khalid M. 2013. Protective effects of antioxidants on linoleic acid-treated bovine oocytes during maturation and subsequent embryo development. *Theriogenology*, 80:161-168.

Khurana NK, Niemann H. 2000. Energy metabolism in preimplantation bovine embryos derived in vitro or in vivo. *Biol Reprod*, 62:847-856.

Kimura K, Spate LD, Green MP, Roberts RM. 2005. Effects of D-glucose concentration, D-fructose, and inhibitors of enzymes of the pentose phosphate pathway on the development and sex ratio of bovine blastocysts. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 72:201-207.

Kimura K, Iwata H, Thompson JG. 2008. The effect of glucosamine concentration on the development and sex ratio of bovine embryos. *Anim Reprod Sci*, 103:228-238.

Kolle S, Stojkovic M, Boie G, Wolf E, Sinowatz F. 2002. Growth hormone inhibits apoptosis in in vitro produced bovine embryos. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 61:180-186.

Krisher RL, Lane M, Bavister BD. 1999. Developmental competence and metabolism of bovine embryos cultured in semi-defined and defined culture media. *Biol Reprod*, 60:1345-1352.

Lambrot R, Xu C, Saint-Phar S, Chountalos G, Cohen T, Paquet M, Suderman M, Hallett M, Kimmins S. 2013. Low paternal dietary folate alters the mouse sperm epigenome and is associated with negative pregnancy outcomes. *Nat Commun*, 4:2889.

Le Bouc Y, Rossignol S, Azzi S, Brioude F, Cabrol S, Gicquel C, Netchine I. 2010. [Epigenetics, genomic imprinting and developmental disorders]. *Bull Acad Natl Med*, 194:287-297; Discussion, 297-300.

Lee S, Gilula NB, Warner AE. 1987. Gap junctional communication and compaction during preimplantation stages of mouse development. *Cell*, 51:851-860.

Leese HJ, Sturmey RG, Baumann CG, McEvoy TG. 2007. Embryo viability and metabolism: obeying the quiet rules. *Hum Reprod*, 22:3047-3050.

Leese HJ. 2012. Metabolism of the preimplantation embryo: 40 years on. *Reproduction*, 143:417-427.

Lequarre AS, Vigneron C, Ribaucour F, Holm P, Donnay I, Dalbies-Tran R, Callesen H, Mermillod P. 2005. Influence of antral follicle size on oocyte characteristics and embryo development in the bovine. *Theriogenology*, 63:841-859.

Livingston T, Eberhardt D, Edwards JL, Godkin J. 2004. Retinol improves bovine embryonic development in vitro. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*, 2:83.

Lodde V, Modina S, Maddox-Hyttel P, Franciosi F, Lauria A, Luciano AM. 2008. Oocyte morphology and transcriptional silencing in relation to chromatin remodeling during the final phases of bovine oocyte growth. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 75:915-924.

Lonergan P, Carolan C, Van Langendonckt A,

Donnay I, Khatir H, Mermillod P. 1996. Role of epidermal growth factor in bovine oocyte maturation and preimplantation embryo development in vitro. *Biol Reprod*, 54:1420-1429.

Macaulay AD, Gilbert I, Caballero J, Barreto R, Fournier E, Tossou P, Sirard MA, Clarke HJ, Khandjian EW, Richard FJ, Hyttel P, Robert C. 2014. The gametic synapse: RNA transfer to the bovine oocyte. *Biol Reprod*, 91:90.

McEvoy TG, Sinclair KD, Young LE, Wilmut I, Robinson JJ. 2000. Large offspring syndrome and other consequences of ruminant embryo culture in vitro: relevance to blastocyst culture in human ART. *Hum Fertil (Camb)*, 3:238-246.

Motlik J, Crozet N, Fulka J. 1984. Meiotic competence in vitro of pig oocytes isolated from early antral follicles. *J Reprod Fertil*, 72:323-328.

Moussa M, Shu J, Zhang XH, Zeng F. 2015. Maternal control of oocyte quality in cattle: a review. *Anim Reprod Sci*, 155:11-27.

Nagano M, Katagiri S, Takahashi Y. 2006. ATP content and maturational/developmental ability of bovine oocytes with various cytoplasmic morphologies. *Zygote*, 14:299-304.

Nivet AL, Bunel A, Labrecque R, Belanger J, Vigneault C, Blondin P, Sirard MA. 2012. FSH withdrawal improves developmental competence of oocytes in the bovine model. *Reproduction*, 143:165-171.

Olson SE, Seidel GE Jr. 2000. Reduced oxygen tension and EDTA improve bovine zygote development in a chemically defined medium. *J Anim Sci*, 78:152-157.

Ostermeier GC, Miller D, Huntriss JD, Diamond MP, Krawetz SA. 2004. Reproductive biology: delivering spermatozoan RNA to the oocyte. *Nature*, 429(6988):154.

Perkel JM. 2013. Visiting "noncodarnia". *Biotechniques*, 54:301, 303-304.

Plourde D, Vigneault C, Lemay A, Breton L, Gagne D, Laflamme I, Blondin P, Robert C. 2012. Contribution of oocyte source and culture conditions to phenotypic and transcriptomic variation in commercially produced bovine blastocysts. *Theriogenology*, 78:116-131.e1-3.

Quinn P, Harlow GM. 1978. The effect of oxygen on the development of preimplantation mouse embryos in vitro. *J Exp Zool*, 206:73-80.

Quirk SM, Hickey GJ, Fortune JE. 1986. Growth and regression of ovarian follicles during the follicular phase of the oestrous cycle in heifers undergoing spontaneous and PGF-2 alpha-induced luteolysis. *J Reprod Fertil*, 77:211-219.

Rizos D, Lonergan P, Boland MP, Arroyo-Garcia R, Pintado B, de la Fuente J, Gutierrez-Adan A. 2002. Analysis of differential messenger RNA expression between bovine blastocysts produced in different culture systems: implications for blastocyst quality. *Biol* Reprod, 66:589-595.

Rizos D, Gutierrez-Adan A, Perez-Garnelo S, de la Fuente J, Boland MP, Lonergan P. 2003. Bovine embryo culture in the presence or absence of serum: implications for blastocyst development, cryotolerance, and messenger RNA expression. *Biol Reprod*, 68:236-243.

Rizos D, Clemente M, Bermejo-Alvarez P, de la Fuente J, Lonergan P, Gutierrez-Adan A. 2008. Consequences of in vitro culture conditions on embryo development and quality. *Reprod Domest Anim*, 43(suppl. 4):44-50.

Robert C. 2010. Microarray analysis of gene expression during early development: a cautionary overview. *Reproduction*, 140:787-801

Robert C, Nieminen J, Dufort I, Gagne D, Grant JR, Cagnone G, Plourde D, Nivet AL, Fournier E, Paquet E, Blazejczyk M, Rigault P, Juge N, Sirard MA. 2011. Combining resources to obtain a comprehensive survey of the bovine embryo transcriptome through deep sequencing and microarrays. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 78:651-664.

Rorie RW, Miller GF, Nasti KB, McNew RW. 1994. In vitro development of bovine embryos as affected by different lots of bovine serum albumin and citrate. *Theriogenology*, 42:397-403.

Santos F, Hyslop L, Stojkovic P, Leary C, Murdoch A, Reik W, Stojkovic M, Herbert M, Dean W. 2010. Evaluation of epigenetic marks in human embryos derived from IVF and ICSI. *Hum Reprod*, 25:2387-2395.

Santos TA, El Shourbagy S, St John JC. 2006. Mitochondrial content reflects oocyte variability and fertilization outcome. *Fertil Steril*, 85:584-591.

Saunders CM, Larman MG, Parrington J, Cox LJ, Royse J, Blayney LM, Swann K, Lai FA. 2002. PLC zeta: a sperm-specific trigger of Ca(2+) oscillations in eggs and embryo development. *Development*, 129:3533-3544.

Scantland S, Grenon JP, Desrochers MH, Sirard MA, Khandjian EW, Robert C. 2011. Method to isolate polyribosomal mRNA from scarce samples such as mammalian oocytes and early embryos. *BMC Dev Biol*, 11:8.

Scantland S, Tessaro I, Macabelli CH, Macaulay AD, Cagnone G, Fournier E, Luciano AM, Robert C. 2014. The adenosine salvage pathway as an alternative to mitochondrial production of ATP in maturing mammalian oocytes. *Biol Reprod*, 91:75.

Sheik Mohamed J, Gaughwin PM, Lim B, Robson P, Lipovich L. 2010. Conserved long noncoding RNAs transcriptionally regulated by Oct4 and Nanog modulate pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *RNA*, 16:324-337.

Sirard MA, Richard F, Blondin P, Robert C. 2006. Contribution of the oocyte to embryo quality. *Theriogenology*, 65:126-136.

St John JC. 2012. Transmission, inheritance and

replication of mitochondrial DNA in mammals: implications for reproductive processes and infertility. *Cell Tissue Res*, 349:795-808.

Sternlicht AL, Schultz RM. 1981. Biochemical studies of mammalian oogenesis: kinetics of accumulation of total and poly(A)-containing RNA during growth of the mouse oocyte. *J Exp Zool*, 215:191-200.

Stojkovic M, Machado SA, Stojkovic P, Zakhartchenko V, Hutzler P, Goncalves PB, Wolf E. 2001. Mitochondrial distribution and adenosine triphosphate content of bovine oocytes before and after in vitro maturation: correlation with morphological criteria and developmental capacity after in vitro fertilization and culture. *Biol Reprod*, 64:904-909.

Sutton-McDowall ML, Gilchrist RB, Thompson JG. 2010. The pivotal role of glucose metabolism in determining oocyte developmental competence. *Reproduction*, 139:685-695.

Taverne MA, Breukelman SP, Perenyi Z, Dieleman SJ, Vosa PL, Jonker HH, de Ruigh L, Van Wagtendonk-de Leeuw JM, Beckers JF. 2002. The monitoring of bovine pregnancies derived from transfer of in vitro produced embryos. *Reprod Nutr Dev*, 42:613-624.

Thompson JG, Partridge RJ, Houghton FD, Cox CI, Leese HJ. 1996. Oxygen uptake and carbohydrate metabolism by in vitro derived bovine embryos. *J Reprod Fertil*, 106:299-306.

Tilly JL, Sinclair DA. 2013. Germline energetics, aging, and female infertility. *Cell Metab*, 17:838-850.

Van Blerkom J. 2008. Mitochondria as regulatory forces in oocytes, preimplantation embryos and stem cells. *Reprod Biomed Online*, 16:553-569.

Van Blerkom J. 2009. Mitochondria in early

mammalian development. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*, 20:354-364.

Van Blerkom J. 2011. Mitochondrial function in the human oocyte and embryo and their role in developmental competence. *Mitochondrion*, 11:797-813.

Van Soom A, Boerjan ML, Bols PE, Vanroose G, Lein A, Coryn M, de Kruif A. 1997a. Timing of compaction and inner cell allocation in bovine embryos produced in vivo after superovulation. *Biol Reprod*, 57:1041-1049.

Van Soom A, Ysebaert MT, de Kruif A. 1997b. Relationship between timing of development, morula morphology, and cell allocation to inner cell mass and trophectoderm in in vitro-produced bovine embryos. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 47:47-56.

Wang Z, Fu C, Yu S. 2013. Green tea polyphenols added to IVM and IVC media affect transcript abundance, apoptosis, and pregnancy rates in bovine embryos. *Theriogenology*, 79:186-192.

Wilding M, Coppola G, Dale B, Di Matteo L. 2009. Mitochondria and human preimplantation embryo development. *Reproduction*, 137:619-624.

Wilding M. 2015. Potential long-term risks associated with maternal aging (the role of the mitochondria). *Fertil Steril*, 103:1397-1401.

Wolke U, Jezuit EA, Priess JR. 2007. Actin-dependent cytoplasmic streaming in C. elegans oogenesis. *Development*, 134:2227-2236.

Wrenzycki C, Herrmann D, Niemann H. 2007. Messenger RNA in oocytes and embryos in relation to embryo viability. *Theriogenology*, 68(suppl. 1):S77-83.

Young LE, Sinclair KD, Wilmut I. 1998. Large offspring syndrome in cattle and sheep. *Rev Reprod*, 3:155-163.